KENT PENSION FUND RISK REGISTER MARCH 2014

Category	Ref	Risk	Impact	Likelihood	Risk Score	Risk Owner	Possible actions
Governance Risks	1						
Governance	G1	Call for Evidence leads to the amalgamation of	4	2	8	N Vickers	Fund submission to CLG.
		LGPS Funds					Working with SE7 on other options.
Covernance	G2	Failure to establish the new Pension Board in	3	1	3	N Vickers	Respond to next consultation.
Governance	GZ	accordance with legislation	3	1	3	IN VICKERS	Apply regulations when published.
Governance	G3	Compliance with data protection laws	4	1	4	N Vickers	KCC policies and protocols, training
Governance		Inadequate skills & knowledge of members/officers	4	2	8	N Vickers	Emphasis on member and officer training & development
	0.			-	Ŭ		Attendance at training events run by Fund Managers, CIPFA, NAPF etc
Governance	G5	Loss of experienced members/staff	3	2	6	N Vickers	Succession planning
Employer Risks							
Employer	E1	Poor communication with employers	1	1	1	B Cheatle/	Employer Forums, meetings
						A Mings/	Regular communication
1						S Tagg	
Employer	E2	Financial failure of an employer	2	4	8	A Mings/	Monitoring of employers, bond / guarantees, credit risk management inform
						S Tagg	Agree shorter recovery periods. Cash deficit contributions
Employer	E3	Employer outsourcing	3	3	9	S Tagg	Clear process and communication with employers pre decisions
							Liaise with KCC Legal Services
E		Follows to collect popular contributions in line with				A Minung (Liaise with actuary.
Employer	E4	Failure to collect pension contributions in line with	3	1	3	A Mings/	Regular monitoring and recovery action, KPI's
Employor	E5	regulatory guidelines Increased number of employers in the fund	2	3	6	S Tagg S Tagg/	Annual internal audit review Appropriate staffing resources and systems
Employer	⊏⊃	increased number of employers in the rund	2	3	0	A Mings	Liaison with actuary.
						A Willigs	Clear communication.
Employer	E6	Implementation of actuarial valuation results	2	2	4	A Mings/	Clear communication.
Employer	20		2	2		S Tagg	monitoring of monthly returns
Employer	E7	Local Government Review in Kent	4	2		N Vickers	Communication with employers
Investment Risks	1						
Investment	1	Fund managers' compliance with LGPS 2009 regs	2	1	2	A Mings/	IMA's. monthly reporting, Committee reviews, officer reviews
		and Fund SIP / FSS	_			S Surana	
Investment	12	Lack of adequate internal controls at Fund Managers	3	1	3	A Mings/	AAF/01/16 and SSAE16 reports
		and Custodian				S Surana	
Investment	13	Increased maturity of fund as local authority	3	4	12	N Vickers/	Funding Strategy Statement
		payroll budgets reduce				A Mings	Cash flow monitoring.
							Triennial valuation and annual interim reviews
Investment	14	Changes in maturity mean that different employers	2	2	4	N Vickers/	Investment strategy.
		need different investment strategies					Liaison with actuary.
Investment	15	Fund investment return below that assumed	4	3	12	N Vickers	Diversified investment strategy with annual review.
		by the actuary					Advice from Hymans Robertson.
							Monitoring of investment managers.
Invoctmont	10	High inflation	2	2	0	N Viekers	Tactical asset allocation
Investment	16 17	High inflation Investment management arrangements become	3	2	<u>6</u> 4	N Vickers N Vickers	Inflation protection investments. Investment strategy.
Investment	17	too complex	2	۷	4	IN VICKEIS	Advice Hymans Robertson.
Investment	18	Increased longevity	3	3	9	N Vickers	Actuarial assumptions, increased empoloyer contributions
Investment		Derisking not implemented at the right time	3	2	6	N Vickers	Investment strategy.
	10		Ŭ	-			Monitoring of funding levels.
							Advice Hymans Robertson.
Investment	110	Failure of manager or custodian	3	2	6	N Vickers	Quarterly monitoring
		-					SSAE16 audit reports; diversification of manager mandates; diversification of
have a fire and	14.4	here the level line is the second				A Minus (Advice from Hymans Robertson.
Investment	111	Insufficient liquidity, poor cashflow management	3	2	6	A Mings/	Cashflow forecasting and monitoring
lassa stas su t	14.0	Poorly managed traces in strates i		2		S Surana	Selection of counterpartice
Investment	112	Poorly managed treasury strategy	2	2	4	A Mings/	Selection of counterparties.
				-		S Surana	
Investment	113	Completeness and accuracy of investment records	2	2	4	A Mings/	Reconciliation of KCC accounting systems with fund mangers' reports.
		including income				S Surana	Annual internal audit review

tc
information
ation of custody via pooled funds
i.

Category	Ref	Risk	Impact	Likelihood	Risk Score	Risk Owner	Possible actions
Administration R	lisks						
Administration	A1	Administration system not implemented to timescale	4	3	12	B Cheatle	Project planning. Heywood support.
Administration	A2	Poor communication with employees	1	1	1	B Cheatle/	Regular communication, ABI's
Administration	A3	Fraudulent payments	2	1	2	B Cheatle A Mings	Atmos monitoring process. Internal controls. Regular internal audits.
Administration	A4	Failure of employers to provide timely and accurate information	2	3	6	B Cheatle S Tagg	Regular communication. Intervention with problem employers.
Administration	A5	Inadequate implementation of the 2014 Regulations	3	4	12	B Cheatle S Tagg	Regular communication. Staff training.
Administration	A6	Failure to maintain proper records leading to inadequate data, which could lead to increased complaints and errors	3	1	3	B Cheatle	Engagement with employers, employer manuals in place, electronic interfa year end data cleansing, officer checking,
Administration	A7	Security and integrity of member data	3	1	4	B Cheatle	Access controls, authorisations, reconciliations
Administration	A8	System failure	3	1	3	B Cheatle	Business continuity arrangements with Heywood.
Administration	A9	Manual calculations due to late/non receipt of new Regulations	2	4	8	B Cheatle	Staff training.
Administration	A10	Impact of tax changes on individuals-annual allowance/lifetime allowance	2	3	6	B Cheatle	Briefing material.

face,